

단순당 섭취와 건강


이 해 정

을지대학교 식품영양학과

Contents

- Simple sugar
- Sugar intake and diseases
- WHO guidance
- Sugar Intake status
- What should we do?

Classification of carbohydrates



Classification of carbohydrates

Table 1| Classification of dietary carbohydrates

Class*	Subgroup	Principal components
Sugars (1-2)	Monosaccharides	Glucose, fructose, galactose
	Disaccharides	Sucrose, lactose, maltose, trehalose
	Polyols (sugar alcohols)	Sorbitol, mannitol, lactitol, xylitol, erythritol, isomalt, maltitol
	Free sugars	All monosaccharides and disaccharides added to foods by the manufacturer, cook, or consumer; sugars naturally present in honey, syrups, and fruit juices
Oligosaccharides (3-9)	Malto-oligosaccharides (α-glucans)	Maltodextrins
	Non-α-glucan oligosaccharides	Raffinose, stachyose, fructo and galacto oligosaccharides, polydextrose, inulin
Polysaccharides (≥10)	Starch (α-glucans)	Amylose, amylopectin, modified starches
	Non-starch polysaccharides	Cellulose, hemicellulose, pectin, arabinoylans, β-glucan, glucomannans, plant gums and mucilages, hydrocolloids

*Degree of polymerisation or number of monomeric (single sugar) units in brackets.
Adapted from references 13 and 14.

Function




- Your brain and nervous system utilize carbohydrates for energy
- Carbohydrates are broken down into a molecule called glucose, which is either used for energy or stored for later use.
- Simple sugars are broken down quickly, producing a rapid increase in blood sugar levels
- In contrast, complex carbohydrates take longer to break down and process in the body because of their structure.

Sugar Intake and Health


- Lipogenesis
- Obesity
- Metabolic syndrome
- Diabetes
- Hypertension
- Cardiovascular disease
- Cavity
- Dementia

Fructose metabolism



Luc Tappy et al. *Physiol Rev* 2010;90:23-46

Lipogenesis




Varman T. Samuel et al. *Trends in Met* 2011;22(2):60-65

Dietary sugar and body weight


Jim Mann et al. *BMJ* 2012;345:e7492

Changes in diet and lifestyle & long-term weight gain: cohort






Strain et al. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:2392-404

Consuming fructose-sweetened, not glucose-sweetened, beverages increases visceral adiposity



Kimber L. Stanhope et al. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2009;119:1322-1334



Sweetened beverage intake & the risk of Cardiovascular Disease in women

Relative risks and 95% CIs for sugar-sweetened beverage consumption and risk of coronary heart disease by consumption level (n = 88,520)

	Consumption level					P for trend
	<1/mo	1-4/mo	2-6/wk	1 to <2/d	≥2/d	
Median intake (servings/d)	0	0.1	0.4	1.2	2.6	
No. of cases	883	723	1198	218	83	
Person-years	574,814	494,831	745,176	134,933	52,455	
Age-adjusted	1	0.94 (0.85, 1.03)	1.08 (0.99, 1.18)	1.51 (1.30, 1.75)	1.93 (1.54, 2.43)	<0.01
Multivariate-adjusted ¹	1	0.97 (0.88, 1.07)	1.06 (0.97, 1.16)	1.27 (1.09, 1.47)	1.39 (1.11, 1.75)	<0.01
Multivariate-adjusted + diet ²	1	0.96 (0.87, 1.06)	1.04 (0.95, 1.14)	1.23 (1.06, 1.43)	1.35 (1.07, 1.69)	<0.01

¹ Adjusted for age (continuous), smoking [never, past, or current cigarette use (1-14/d, 15-24/d, ≥25/d, or missing)], alcohol intake (0, <5, 5-15, or >15 g/d), family history (yes or no), physical activity (quintiles), aspirin use (<1, 1-2, 3-6, 7-14, or ≥15/wk), menopausal status and postmenopausal hormone use (premenopausal, never, past, or current hormone use), and history of hypertension and high blood cholesterol. Relative risks were computed from a Cox proportional hazard model.
² Additionally adjusted for the Alternate Healthy Eating Index (quintiles).

Teresa T. Fung et al. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009;89:1037-1042

AHA Scientific Statement

Dietary Sugars Intake and Cardiovascular Health

A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association

Rachel K. Johnson, PhD, MPH, RD, Chair; Lawrence J. Appel, MD, MPH, FAHA; Michael Branda, PhD, FAHA; Barbara V. Howard, PhD, FAHA; Michael Lefevre, PhD, FAHA; Robert H. Lustig, MD, Frank Sacks, MD, FAHA; Lyn M. Steffen, PhD, MPH, RD, FAHA; Judith Wylie-Rosett, EdD, RD, on behalf of the American Heart Association Nutrition Committee of the Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism and the Council on Epidemiology and Prevention

Abstract—High intakes of dietary sugars in the setting of a worldwide pandemic of obesity and cardiovascular disease have heightened concerns about the adverse effects of excessive consumption of sugars. In 2001 to 2004, the usual intake of added sugars for Americans was 22.2 teaspoons per day (353 calories per day). Between 1970 and 2005, average annual availability of sugar/sweetened beverages increased by 19%, which added 16 calories to Americans' average daily energy intake. Soft drinks and other sugar-sweetened beverages are the primary source of added sugars in Americans' diets. Excessive consumption of sugars has been linked with several metabolic abnormalities and adverse health conditions, as well as shortfalls of essential nutrients. Although total data are limited, evidence from observational studies indicates that a higher intake of soft drinks is associated with greater energy intake, higher body weight, and lower intake of essential nutrients. National survey data also indicate that excessive consumption of added sugar is contributing to overconsumption of discretionary calories by Americans. On the basis of the 2005 US Dietary Guidelines, intake of added sugars greatly exceeds discretionary calorie allowances, regardless of energy needs. In view of these considerations, the American Heart Association recommends reductions in the intake of added sugars. A prudent upper limit of intake is half of the discretionary calorie allowance, which for most American women is no more than 100 calories per day and for most American men is no more than 150 calories per day from added sugars. (*Circulation*. 2009; 120:1011-1020).

Key Words: AHA Scientific Statements ■ cardiovascular diseases ■ carbohydrates, dietary ■ diet ■ beverages ■ carbonated beverages ■ lipids

심장혈관건강을 위해
미국 여성은 하루에 100 kcal, 남자는
150kcal 이상 섭취하지 않기를 권장한다.

Rachel K. Johnson et al. *Circulation* 2009;120(11):1011-1020

Sugar consumption and Cavity

Table 3. Strength of relationships between sugar exposure and caries development in the 36 studies that scored 55 or higher in evidence tables.

Reference Number	Design Studied	Study Design	Quality Score	Strength of Relationship*
12	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	60	Moderate
13	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	72	Weak
14	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	62	Weak
18	Permanent	Cohort	66	Weak
20	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	77	Weak
22	Permanent (Ross Cartes)	Case-Control	59	Moderate
23	Primary	Cross-Sectional	66	Moderate
24	Primary	Cohort	59	Moderate
25	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	74	Moderate
26	Primary	Cross-Sectional	63	Weak
29	Primary	Cohort	70	Strong
30	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	70	Weak
32	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	58	Moderate
34	Primary	Cross-Sectional	58	Weak
35	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	70	Moderate
38	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	79	Moderate
39	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	63	Moderate
40	Permanent	Cohort	67	Moderate
46	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	68	Weak
48	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	68	Weak
49	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	55	Weak
50	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	72	Weak
51	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	64	Weak
52	Primary	Cohort	70	Moderate
53	Primary	Cohort	61	Moderate
54	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	58	Moderate
56	Permanent (Ross Cartes)	Cross-Sectional	60	Moderate
60	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	65	Weak
62	Primary	Cohort	57	Moderate
65	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	75	Moderate
66	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	69	Moderate
71	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	58	Weak
73	Permanent	Cross-Sectional	59	Weak
74	Permanent	Cohort	66	Weak
78	Primary	Cohort	71	Moderate
79	Primary	Cohort	59	Weak

* Strong: OR (or RR) ≥ 2.5 or more; Moderate: OR (or RR) = 1.5 - 2.4; Weak: OR (or RR) = 1.4 or less.

Brian A. Burt et al. *J Dent Educ* 2001;65(10):1017-1023

Fruit intake and risk of death: England data

Table 4 Association between portions of fruit or of vegetables consumed and risk of death, from all causes unless specified

Model	Portions of fruit consumed in the previous day HRs (95% CI)			
	0-<1*	1-<2	2-<3	3-<4
Number of participants	32 428	17 862	14 727	9304
Deaths	1574	948	767	452
Model 1†	1	0.86 (0.79 to 0.93)	0.82 (0.75 to 0.89)	0.75 (0.67 to 0.83)
Model 2‡	1	0.91 (0.84 to 0.99)	0.90 (0.82 to 0.98)	0.84 (0.76 to 0.93)
Model 3§¶ Model 2 Cancer deaths only	1	0.99 (0.86 to 1.14)	0.98 (0.84 to 1.14)	0.85 (0.70 to 1.11)
Model 4¶ Model 2 CVD deaths only	1	0.90 (0.78 to 1.03)	0.87 (0.76 to 1.01)	0.91 (0.76 to 1.08)
Model 5. Model 2, excluding deaths within a year	1	0.91 (0.83 to 0.99)	0.90 (0.82 to 0.99)	0.83 (0.74 to 0.93)
Model 6§ Model 2, non-smokers only	1	0.96 (0.84 to 1.10)	0.94 (0.82 to 1.09)	0.78 (0.65 to 0.93)

*Reference category.
 †Model 1: Adjusted for age, sex, social class, cigarette smoking and BMI.
 ‡Model 2: Adjusted for age, sex, social class, cigarette smoking, BMI, physical activity, education, alcohol intake and mutually adjusted for portions of vegetables/portion of fruit consumed. Education and alcohol intake were not significant and therefore not included in this model.
 §The number of portions of fruit consumed was not significantly associated with mortality in this model.
 ¶CVD, cardiovascular disease.

Oyebode O. et al. *J Epidemiol Commun H* 2014;68:856-862

Various fruit intake and risk of death: England data

Type of fruit or vegetable	HR per portion adjusted for age, sex, social class, cigarette smoking and BMI	p Value	HR per portion adjusted for age, sex, social class, cigarette smoking, BMI and all other fruit and vegetable variables	p Value
Vegetables	0.84 (0.81 to 0.88)	<0.001	0.85 (0.81 to 0.89)	<0.001
Salad	0.87 (0.82 to 0.92)	<0.001	0.87 (0.82 to 0.92)	<0.001
Vegetables in composites	0.92 (0.82 to 1.02)	0.10	0.92 (0.82 to 1.02)	0.10
Pulses	0.95 (0.88 to 1.03)	0.20	0.95 (0.88 to 1.03)	0.20
Fresh fruit	0.96 (0.95 to 0.98)	<0.001	0.96 (0.95 to 0.98)	<0.001
Dried fruit	0.91 (0.84 to 0.99)	0.03	0.91 (0.84 to 0.99)	0.03
Fruit in composites	0.93 (0.84 to 1.03)	0.17	0.93 (0.84 to 1.03)	0.17
Fruit juice	0.97 (0.91 to 1.04)	0.40	0.97 (0.91 to 1.04)	0.40
Frozen/canned fruit	1.17 (1.07 to 1.28)	0.001	1.17 (1.07 to 1.28)	0.001

Oyebode O. et al. *J Epidemiol Commun H* 2014;68:856-862


Whole fruit intakes and type2 diabetes

Table 2 Pooled hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) of type 2 diabetes for total whole fruit consumption in Nurses' Health Study, Nurses' Health Study II, and Health Professionals Follow-up Study

Variables	Consumption levels					Linear trend*	For trend	P values For heterogeneity
	<4 servings/week	5-6 servings/week	1 serving/day	2 servings/day	≥3 servings/day			
Nurses' Health Study†	478/94 121	523/105 022	1141/237 302	2066/459 062	2148/498 620	0.81 (0.72 to 0.91)	0.82 (0.72 to 0.92)	0.97 (0.95 to 0.99)
Nurses' Health Study II†	995/358 762	620/287 918	719/368 180	596/295 904	222/105 347	0.88 (0.76 to 0.94)	0.88 (0.78 to 1.08)	0.99 (0.96 to 1.02)
Health Professionals Follow-up Study†	219/48 762	236/47 865	482/107 372	799/199 357	951/251 047	0.99 (0.83 to 1.17)	0.99 (0.82 to 1.19)	0.98 (0.95 to 1.01)
Pooled results‡§	1.00	0.91 (0.85 to 0.99)	0.88 (0.82 to 0.95)	0.87 (0.81 to 0.94)	0.88 (0.81 to 0.96)	0.98 (0.96 to 1.00)	0.002	0.48

*Estimated based on every three servings/week increment.
 †Cases/person years of observation.
 ‡Adjusted for age (years), ethnicity (white, African-American, Hispanic, or Asian), body mass index (<23, 23.0-24.9, 25.0-26.9, 27.0-28.9, 29.0-30.9, 31.0-32.9, 33.0-34.9, 35.0-36.9, 37.0-38.9, 39.0-40.9, 41.0-42.9, 43.0-44.9, ≥45.0, or missing), smoking status (never, former, current (1-14, 15-24, or ≥25 cigarettes/day), or missing), multivitamin use (yes or no), physical activity (<3, 3.0-9.9, 10-17.9, 18.0-26.9, ≥27.0 MET (metabolic equivalent of task) hours/week, or missing), family history of diabetes (yes or no), menopausal status and post-menopausal hormone use (premenopausal, post-menopausal (never, former, or current hormone use), or missing, for women), oral contraceptive use (yes, no, or missing, for Nurses' Health Study II) total energy intake (kcal/day), fruit juice consumption (<1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 servings/week) and the modified alternate healthy eating index score (MHA). Individual fruit consumption was mutually adjusted.
 §Study estimates from three cohorts were pooled using a fixed effects model.

JoAnn E Manson et al. *BMJ* 2013;347:f5001



Pooled multivariate adjusted hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals (error bars) of type 2 diabetes for substituting three servings/week of total or specific fruit for the same amount of fruit juice

JoAnn E Manson et al. *BMJ* 2013;347:f5001

Sugar-Sweetened Beverages, Weight Gain, and Incidence of Type 2 Diabetes in Young and Middle-Aged Women

Table 3. Relative Risk of Type 2 Diabetes According to Frequencies of Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Consumption in 91 249 Women






	Sugar-Sweetened Soft Drink Intake				P Value for Trend
	<1/mo	1-4/mo	2-6/wk	>1/d	
All sugar-sweetened soft drinks					
Cases	368	163	95	115	
Person-years	381 275	188 501	80 066	66 438	
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	1.00	0.93 (0.78-1.12)	1.32 (1.06-1.66)	1.98 (1.60-2.44)	<.001
Multivariate-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	1.00	1.06 (0.87-1.28)	1.49 (1.16-1.91)	1.83 (1.42-2.36)	<.001
Sugar-sweetened cola					
Cases	403	142	95	100	
Person-years	420 598	166 656	75 778	53 267	
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	1.00	0.92 (0.76-1.12)	1.44 (1.16-1.81)	2.14 (1.72-2.67)	<.001
Multivariate-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	1.00	0.99 (0.80-1.23)	1.56 (1.21-2.02)	1.87 (1.43-2.45)	<.001
Fruit punch					
Cases	589	85	38	29	
Person-years	525 780	124 932	45 958	19 630	
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	1.00	0.95 (0.73-1.24)	1.24 (0.86-1.77)	2.31 (1.55-3.45)	<.001
Multivariate-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	1.00	0.90 (0.68-1.18)	1.15 (0.79-1.66)	2.00 (1.33-3.03)	.001

*Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; RR, relative risk.
 *Relative risks are adjusted for age; alcohol intake (0, 0.1-4.9, 5.0-9.9, or ≥10 g/d); physical activity (quintiles); family history of diabetes; smoking (never, past, or current); post-menopausal hormone use (never or ever); oral contraceptive use (never, past, or current); intake (quintiles) of cereal fiber, magnesium, trans fat, and ratio of polyunsaturated to saturated fat; and consumption of sugar-sweetened soft drinks, diet soft drinks, fruit juice, and fruit punch (other than the main exposure, depending on model).

Schulze MB. et al. *JAMA* 2004; 292:297-934

WHO Guideline

- WHO current recommendation from 2002 : sugars should make up less than 10% of total energy intake per day
- The new draft guideline : sugars should be less than 10% of total energy intake per day. It further suggests that a reduction to below 5% of total energy intake per day would have additional benefits.
- Guidance on sugars intake is expected to be published in late 2014.



Conclusion

- ✓ 단순당: 가공식품으로부터 섭취 제한
- ✓ 과일 유래 당: Good, but not too much
- ✓ 영양표시 체크! & 제품 유형과 원재료명!